

Order · A40-94475-2012 · 05.12.2013

A40-94475-2012

05.12.2013

Supreme Commercial Court of the Russian Federation

Order

UNOFFICIAL COMPLETE TRANSLATION. The Russian original is the legally controlling text. This translation was prepared for documentary access and should not be relied upon as a certified translation.

Monetary amounts are shown first in Russian roubles, followed by an approximate USD equivalent calculated at the official Bank of Russia historical rate for the date of the act.

Official Bank of Russia rate used: 1 USD = 33.263200 RUB; effective date 05.12.2013.

Russian source file: A40-94475-2012_20131205_Opredelenie.pdf

810 514020

Supreme Court of the Russian Federation

DECISION on refusal to transfer the case to the Presidium of the Supreme Arbitration Court of the Russian Federation

No BAS-8933/13

Moscow 05 December 2013

The panel of judges of the Supreme Arbitration Court of the Russian Federation consisting of the presiding judge Gvozdilina O.Yu., judges Chistyakov A.I., Yukhnei M.F., having considered in the court session the application of the closed joint-stock company ROSTA on reviewing in the order of supervision of the decision of the Federal Arbitration Court of the Moscow District dated 22.04.2013 in case No. A40-94475/12-149-866 of the Arbitration Court of Moscow, USTANOVIL: Open Joint Stock Company "Pharmstandard" (Moscow region); further – Famstandard) and closed joint-stock company "ROSTA" (Moscow region); further – ROSTA) appealed to the Arbitration Court of the city of Moscow with applications for recognition of the decision of the Federal Antimonopoly Service (hereinafter – the antimonopoly authority) of 25.05.2012 No. 1 11/202-11 on violation of antimonopoly legislation in terms of recognition of Pharmstandard and ROSTA as violating the provisions of paragraph 2 of part 1 of Article 11

Official website of the Supreme Arbitration Court of the Russian Federation: <http://www.arbitr.ru/> (information on the progress of the case, reference materials, etc.).

2 of the Federal Law of 26.07.2006 No. 135-FZ "On Protection of Competition" by concluding and implementing an agreement that led to maintaining the price of the drug on lot No. 16 of the open auction No. 091127/001550/312. The Ministry of Health and Social Development of the Russian Federation was involved in the case as a third party, not declaring independent claims regarding the subject of the dispute. The decision of the Arbitration Court of Moscow of 19.11.2012 satisfied the claim. By the decision of the Ninth Arbitration Court of Appeal of 31.01.2013, the decision of the court of first instance was left unchanged. The Federal Arbitration Court of the Moscow District by a resolution of 22.04.2013, the decision of the court of first instance and the decision of the court of appeal canceled, refused Pharmstandard and ROSTA in satisfaction of the stated claim. In a statement submitted to the Supreme Arbitration Court of the Russian Federation on the review of the decision of the court of cassation in the order of supervision, ROSTA asks it to be canceled, referring to the incorrect application of the law by the court of cassation. In accordance with part 4 of article 299 of the Arbitration procedural code of the Russian Federation, the case may be transferred to the Presidium of the Supreme Arbitration Court of the Russian Federation for review of judicial acts in the manner of supervision in the presence of

grounds provided for in article 304 of the Arbitration procedural code of the Russian Federation. According to Article 304 of the Arbitration Procedure Code of the Russian Federation, the grounds for changing or canceling judicial acts that have entered into legal force in the order of supervision are: violation of the contested judicial act of uniformity in interpretation and

3 application of the rules of law by arbitration courts; violation of human and citizen rights and freedoms in accordance with generally recognized principles and norms of international law, international treaties of the Russian Federation; violation of the rights and legitimate interests of an indefinite circle of persons or other public interests. As follows from the court acts, by the decision of 25.05.2012 in case No. 11/202-11, the antimonopoly authority recognized the presence in the actions of Pharmstandard and ROSTA of a violation of paragraph 2 of part 1 of article 11 of the Federal law dated 26.07.2006 No. 135-FZ "On protection of competition" (hereinafter - the Law on protection of competition), which was expressed in the agreement , aimed at maintaining the price of the drug on lot No. 16 of the open auction No. 091127/001550/312. The examination of the court acts and case materials adopted in the case showed that the court of cassation proceeded from the circumstances of the case and the arguments of the persons involved in the case, guided by the current legislation, including the norms of the Law on Protection of Competition. The Court of Cassation, revoking the decision of the court of first instance and the decision of the court of appeal, pointed to the incorrect application by the courts of the Law on Protection of Competition and concluded that there was an agreement between the companies that led to the maintenance of the price at the auction for lot No. 16 of an open auction for the right to conclude a state contract for the supply of medicines. Having studied all the arguments of ROSTA, stated in the application, the panel of judges believes that the arguments presented do not indicate the existence of grounds provided for in Article 304 of the Arbitration Procedure Code of the Russian Federation for transferring the case to the Presidium of the Supreme Arbitration Court of the Russian Federation for review of the contested judicial act in the order of supervision.

4 Taking into account the foregoing and being guided by Article 184, Part 8 of Article 299, Article 301 of the Arbitration Procedure Code of the Russian Federation, the panel of judges has determined: in the transfer of case No. A40-94475/12-149-866 of the Arbitration Court of Moscow to the Presidium of the Supreme Arbitration Court of the Russian Federation for review in the order of supervision of the decision of the Federal Arbitration Court of the Moscow District of 22.04.2013 to refuse.

Presiding judge O.Y. nail

Judge A.I. Chistyakova

Judge M.F. Juncker